IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 97 OF 2005

SHRI UDAY MASHELKAR,PANJIM GOA. ....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF GOA AND 2 ORS., ...Respondents

Shri D. Pangam, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. W. Coutiho, Government Advocate for the respondents No.1 and 2.

Coram:- R. M. LODHA &
N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 29th August, 2005

P.C.

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitoner. We perused the writ petition and the reply affidavit. We also perused the rejoinder which was handed in by the learned Counsel for the petitioner.

2. We hardly find any illegality in the order dated 24.1.2005. There is no merit in the grievance of the petitioner that the order dated 24.1.2005 has the effect of depriving promotion to the petitioner who is next in the seniority list and eligible to be promoted to the post of Foreman. There is also no merit in the contention of the petitioner that the order dated 24.1.2005 is bad in law because earlier similar request made by the two other persons was rejected.

3. The Order dated 24.1.2005 does not suffer from any legal infirmity. The writ petition is dismissed in limine.

R. M. LODHA, J.